

To whom it may concern:

I attended the Loveland workshop July 31, 2012. I was able to listen to the group discussions for New Transmission Authorities and Design of Transmission Services. I wanted to comment on some things that really made sense to me as a CRSP customer.

1. There is a huge potential for additional costs associated with implementing 1222 and these costs being filtered into rates with very little benefit to current WAPA customers. Is there a need for 1222? Implementation takes the staff time, where other necessary activities or tasks get pushed aside that involve customer's needs. There was mention of focusing on the Contributed Funds Act instead.
2. Regarding WAPA's involvement with electric vehicles (EV) and energy efficiency, these activities should be administered at the retail or customer level. WAPA should not be involved.
3. The definition of beneficiary; focusing on those wanting more services from WAPA and will benefit should pay for the costs to implement the service. New services costs cannot be added to the existing customers if they do not want them or stand to benefit from them.

Phillip Solomon

Energy Services Director

City of St. George, Utah